



City of Del Mar

MEMO

TO: Undergrounding Project Advisory Committee Members

FROM: Mohsen Maali, Deputy Public Works Director
Kristen M. Crane, Assistant City Manager

DATE: March 12, 2020

SUBJECT: Citywide Utility Undergrounding Project Implementation Details

This memo is provided in preparation for the Undergrounding Project Advisory Committee meeting on Thursday, March 12, 2020, to provide a brief overview of several topics staff would like to review with UPAC that pertain to implementation of the project.

For the March 23, 2020 City Council meeting, in addition to two items to officially form district, staff is planning a third staff report which highlights several details pertaining to implementation of the project moving into the design phase. While some of the topics are more informational in nature, Council concurrence is sought on two of the topics to help shape how the project moves into the next phase of design.

Coordination with the City's Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

As the UP advances to design, there needs to be coordination with the City's capital improvement program and other City infrastructure projects.

Staff will be looking for opportunities to coordinate the UP with other planned infrastructure projects (such as storm water and/or roadway paving) to maximize cost efficiency and minimize public inconvenience. This type of CIP work would be paid for using other City funding sources, but staff is looking to coordinate design and construction to occur in advance, during, or after, depending on the project.

In addition, two other circumstances are anticipated to drive the need for CIP work in coordination with the UP, driven by legal requirements and infrastructure conflicts, however, staff is recommending that these be funded using Measure Q since they are a direct result of the UP:

- Legal Requirements – Certain construction activities within the public right-of-way (RoW) trigger access law (like the Americans with Disabilities Act) and therefore, requirements for the City to upgrade its sidewalks and pedestrian ramps within the construction area. Since this work would not otherwise be on the City's work plan and is directly a result of the undergrounding project, staff recommends that it be considered incidental to the UP and paid for by Measure Q. Staff does not anticipate many of these circumstances. However, the scope of work will be

Memo to UPAC
 March 12, 2020
 Page 2 of 5

determined during the design phase for areas 1A and X1A and the necessary access improvements designed so that construction can coincide with the UP, possibly by the same contractor.

- Infrastructure Conflicts – Undergrounding construction (i.e., trenching or other required work) may also result in changes to and/or necessary relocation of some of the City’s existing facilities (e.g., fire hydrants) and/or damage to the existing facilities due to physical constraints and/or conflicts at the site. Therefore, staff also recommends this category of work be considered incidental to and paid for by Measure Q because it would not have been necessary outside of the UP.

Staff seeks UPAC and City Council concurrence on the approach described above.

Work on Private Access Roads

As part of the more in-depth review of Areas 1A and X1A in preparation for district formation, it has become clearer that within each area (particularly X1A), there will be necessary work for construction of the distribution system on private access roads. These roads are on private property and will require easements for SDG&E’s infrastructure. The City is also exploring seeking easements from applicable private property owners. In some cases, the infrastructure will need to go through private property, but it is not specifically or uniquely serving that property.

This dynamic will necessitate spending Measure Q funds on private property for construction of the distribution system in order to complete the overall project. This work is considered different than private lateral work.

As part of the district formation, staff will recommend that the City Council consider the “public benefit” associated with these circumstances. It is important to establish this now as the design process begins. Examples of the reasons for public benefit include:

- Ability to construct the entire envisioned citywide system most cost-effectively and efficiently (minimizing broader community inconvenience); and
- Location within fire risk exposure area (X1A). Removal of these poles has a broader community benefit than to just the immediate neighbors. Additionally, there is a broader physical system circuitry connection from and through the lower private access road area serving the upper Crest Road area. Completion of this project will also improve access for emergency vehicles if risk of fallen power lines blocking roadways is eliminated, which also has a broader community benefit.

The first point applies to areas within X1A as well as one within 1A (Penny Lane, east of Stratford Court).

Based on these practical dynamics, in order to complete the core mission of the citywide project, staff recommends there is a clear public benefit associated with these areas and recommends using Measure Q funding for design and construction work for these private access roads where SDG&E determines trenching may not be relocated to the RoW.

Memo to UPAC
March 12, 2020
Page 3 of 5

This circumstance is significantly different from private lateral work, which would still be funded by private property owners.

Staff seeks UPAC concurrence on the approach described above. The associated public benefit will be described within each of the necessary resolutions to officially form areas 1A and X1A as undergrounding districts.

Applicant Trenching

When it is time for construction, the City has the option to perform the trenching and conduit construction through third party contractors, which is called "Applicant Trench," or to have SDG&E do the work. The City must decide which method by the time SDG&E's design is substantially complete. (This is a recent change from SDG&E on the timing by when the City needs to inform them of their decision.) Therefore, staff and the City's consultant are performing a cost/benefit analysis, which will be presented with a recommendation to the City Council in the future.

Informational Topics related to Implementation Details

Staff would like to inform UPAC of several areas being researched and worked on in order to facilitate the UP as it advances:

- **City Permitting Process**

In planning for the UP, staff is evaluating the permitting process for private development and encroachment permits. To minimize future inconvenience and unnecessary expenses, staff is instituting a notification process and adding an informational project condition to alert property owners and developers to the UP so they can review the district maps, planned timing, and become informed on how future UP may affect their projects. An example will be properties with structures that are "back fed" for electricity (from poles in an easement or in rear yards) that are redeveloped while the undergrounding is likely to require the service lateral to be redirected to another side of the property.

Additionally, thinking ahead to the permitting process for private properties that will need to complete private lateral work, staff is exploring ways the review process could possibly be streamlined to assist the property owners as much as possible, in order to facilitate moving the UP moving forward smoothly and expeditiously.

- **Design Review and Coastal Commission Processing**

As the design for the conversion is completed, overhead equipment and other infrastructure (e.g., utility cabinets and retaining walls) will have to be constructed above ground. Although SDG&E's standards will control the design and location, the City may have limited options that would have to go through the City's Design Review process as either Administrative Design Review (ADR) or Design Review Board (DRB) permits, particularly for any retaining walls. Additional permitting may be necessary including a Coastal Development Permit (CDP) or CDP exemptions. These items will be vetted once the designs are adequately complete and presented to the City Council in the future.

- ***Private Property Electrical Panel Upgrades***

Properties with substandard electrical connection panels must be brought to compliance with the current building codes by the property owners prior to completion of the work and energizing the UUD. As a community service, the City is procuring the services of a specialty building inspector to assess the conditions of the panels and upgrade recommendations. This information will be helpful in communication with property owners and planning for the work on private service laterals that must go together with the work on public Right of Way (ROW). The estimated cost for this evaluation for Areas 1A and X1A is \$15,000 - \$20,000, which is recommended to be charged to Measure Q as a cost associated with the UP. Staff will bring a contract for this work to the City Council for approval within the next one to two months. It is important that this work occur at the outset of the design process.

- ***Identification of Potential Tree Conflicts***

The presence of trees and tree roots in the undergrounding districts may present conflicts with trenching construction on private or public properties. The City is procuring the services of a qualified arborist to assess the extent and potential tree conflicts in each of the two undergrounding districts, particularly for Del Mar's protected tree species (Torrey Pines and Monterey Cypress), to provide design criteria, and to assist with the design review in order to avoid or minimize impact to the protected trees within the districts. If a conflict cannot be resolved, the City's Tree Removal Permit process will be initiated, which will coincide with final approval of the project and any other required Design Review/entitlements. The estimated cost for this evaluation for Areas 1A and X1A is \$15,000 - \$20,000, which is recommended to be charged to Measure Q as a cost associated with the UP. Staff will bring a contract for this work to the City Council for approval within the next one to two months. It is important that this work occur at the outside of the design process.

- ***Environmental Clearance***

The City will be the lead agency pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) for any acceptance of design(s) for the undergrounding districts. Accordingly, staff will review the project design(s) once developed in order to determine the appropriate environmental processing pursuant to CEQA. In order to assist in this determination, staff is procuring the services of a biologist to study the presence and extent of biological resources within the districts, as applicable, in order to avoid and/or minimize potential impacts to any identified sensitive resources. This is particularly a concern for Area X1A. Staff will also utilize any prepared reports by a qualified arborist as described above in this determination. The estimated cost for the biological consultant is \$15,000 - \$20,000. Additional funding would be necessary if it is determined after project design that preparation of an Initial Study will be required. The estimated cost for preparation of an Initial Study would be \$20,000 - \$50,000. These expenses would be attributable to Measure Q since they are generated by the UP.

- **Easements**

Construction of trenches and placement of above-ground facilities will require easement agreements between the utility companies and some property owners. Though SDG&E will provide the necessary easement agreement templates and training, they require the City to lead discussions with the property owners to facilitate the progress. This effort will require extensive coordination with property owners by City staff and the consultant team and will be critical to moving forward.

- **Streetlights**

Within the two districts, there are a limited number of “dusk to dawn” streetlights on private properties attached to existing utility poles. As part of the design process, since the existing poles will be removed, SDG&E will coordinate with the property owners to discontinue or keep the service (which would require some type of new streetlight pole). Future requests for lighting within the RoW will be reviewed by staff on a case-by-case basis.

- **Design for Future**

During the UP planning phase, several other topics have been raised for possible coordination with the UP design, such as implementation of 5G technology, readiness for Smart Cities initiatives, questions about future electricity system capacity SDG&E designs for (e.g., if natural gas were phased out in new construction, causing increased demand for electricity, is SDG&E designing the system for that).

The City’s Consultant has confirmed that the City has little influence over how SDG&E designs their system with regards to future capacity and to account for anticipated changes in the generation and delivery of power (e.g., increases in rooftop solar generation, residential car charging stations, and energy efficient appliances).

These items are in the early stages of development, needing further evaluation if they were/are to be considered as part of the design for the UP, which will be starting once the districts are formed. However, the project team will continue monitoring the development of these items for future consideration and coordination with the utility companies.



**UPAC Phase 1 Accomplishments and Phase 2 Mission Statement
03/23/20**

PHASE 1 (PLANNING) ACCOMPLISHMENTS

The committee has successfully completed the important first phase (i.e., Planning Phase) of its mission, which included the following:

1. Development of a Project Delivery Plan, which included numerous policy recommendations to shape how implementation of the project is anticipated to move forward related to the following:
 - A. Scope, size and number of undergrounding districts
 - B. Criteria to determine delineations between districts
 - C. Prioritization criteria
 - D. Ranking and order of completion
 - E. Cost estimate assumptions
 - F. Trade-offs between financing scenarios and project timelines
 - G. Division of costs between the City and private properties
2. Advice and recommendations on the selection of consultants to implement the undergrounding program
3. Study and recommendations on identification of the pilot areas “1A” and “X1A”

UPCOMING PHASE 2 (IMPLEMENTATION) MISSION STATEMENT

As the committee enters the next phase of its work (Phase 2), the following are its guiding principles:

1. Continue to serve as a policy advisor to the City Council on undergrounding policy questions brought to UPAC by City staff or City Council. UPAC may also take the initiative to identify questions that they determine warrant addressing by the City Council as undergrounding proceeds.
2. Serve as the sounding board and discussion forum for City staff, consultants, SDG&E, telecommunication companies, and the community to ask questions and air concerns as undergrounding proceeds.
3. Make policy recommendations to the City Council related to how the project moves forward consistent with the Project Delivery Plan.
4. Assist City staff and the consultant team in community notification and outreach efforts and by advocating for the project.
5. Assist City staff identify potential resources that may be able to help City residents concerning their private service lateral construction.
6. Evaluating the success and lessons learned from the “1A” pilot and “X1A” districts as they proceed to completion.

For purposes of overall efficiency, the following guidelines shall delineate responsibilities as the undergrounding program proceeds during Phase 2:

1. **CITY STAFF.** City staff shall have overall responsibility for program and project management, consultant supervision, interactions with SDG&E and the

**UPAC Phase 1 Accomplishments and Phase 2 Mission Statement
03/23/20**

telecommunication companies and for ongoing program operations and oversight subject to overall policy direction from the City Council. City staff shall keep UPAC informed of project status and major aspects of the undergrounding program.

2. **UPAC.** The focus of UPAC’s Phase 2 Mission will be providing policy feedback on undergrounding issues as they arise and assisting with community relations. Examples include:
 - A. UPAC shall attend community forums, receive public input where appropriate, and provide policy feedback to City staff and the City Council as needed.
 - B. When to consider the installation of additional conduit for potential future uses.
 - C. Whether and/or how to integrate 5G programs and/or other future new equipment installations, especially poles and placements, with the undergrounding project.
 - D. How to address undergrounding work on private access roads.
 - E. Exploring a possible contractor pre-qualification process to assist private property owners in identifying a contractor for the lateral work on their property.
 - F. Whether and how to establish financial assistance programs to aid qualified private owners in financial need with lateral, panel, and related private costs.
 - G. Provide guidance on content for Undergrounding Handbook for Property Owners.
 - H. Identify FAQs for City to consider adding to website.
 - I. Using the lessons learned from the “1A” pilot and “X1A” regions to make recommendations on how the City can best proceed with subsequent phases of the project, including:
 - Using lessons learned, estimate the future program costs.
 - Financing options and recommendations considered in conjunction with input from the Finance and Measure Q oversight committees.
 - Scheduling of future project phases.

OPERATING PROCEDURE (i.e., LOGISTICS)

To best accomplish its Phase 2 Mission the following updates to committee operations are proposed:

1. UPAC will meet bi-monthly, with the ability to meet in off-months as needed.
2. In lieu of regular subcommittees, ad hoc Task Forces will be appointed by the Chairs to address specific tasks on an as needed basis.
3. All UPAC members will be recognized for the contributions to Phase 1 and asked if they wish to renew their committee participation for Phase 2.

City of Del Mar Measure Q Revenues December 31, 2019					
	FY 2016-17 Actual	FY 2017-18 Actual	FY 2018-19 Actual	FY 2019-20 YTD	TOTAL
Tax collection/interest	734,344	2,717,990	2,863,314	1,077,763	7,393,411
Transfer from other funds	-	-	149,219	-	149,219
Total Revenue	\$ 734,344	\$ 2,717,990	\$ 3,012,533	\$ 1,077,763	\$ 7,542,630

City of Del Mar Measure Q Expenditures December 31, 2019					
	FY 2016-17 Actual	FY 2017-18 Actual	FY 2018-19 Actual	FY 2019-20 YTD	TOTAL
Streetscape	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,815,990	\$ 2,442,435	\$ 6,258,425
Shores Park	-	-	-	-	-
Undergrounding	-	-	286,373	29,959	316,332
Roadway & Sidewalks	-	-	-	-	-
Miscellaneous Fees	6,648	-	-	-	6,648
Total Expenditures	\$ 6,648	\$ -	\$ 4,102,363	\$ 2,472,394	\$ 6,581,405

Current Fund Balance \$ 961,225

City of Del Mar Measure Q Remaining Budget Projections Fiscal Year 2019-2020	
	TOTAL
Estimated remaining revenue collection	\$ 1,810,417
Estimated remaining expenditure budget:	-
Undergrounding	548,067
Roadway & Sidewalks	744,234
Shores Park Master Plan	424,880
Remaining revenues over/(under) expenditures	\$ 93,236

Estimated Ending Fund Balance at June 30, 2020 \$ 1,054,461

40.6405.5900
02.6405.5900

UPAC Cost Worksheet (DRAFT)

02.7000.7001

		Estimate 8/5/2019	Current Budget	Cost To Date	Estimate To Complete	Cost At Completion	Variance	Estimate 20/21	Estimate 21/22	Estimate 22/23	Estimate 23/24	Estimate 24/25	Estimate 25/26	Estimate 26/27	Estimate TOTAL	
		19/20														
Fixed Costs	PM - Staff	00100.90X.20.202													\$0	
	PM - Contract	00100.90X.17.202													\$0	
	Legal Services	00100.90X.30.202													\$0	
	Technical Support (US)	00100.90X.28.202													\$0	
	Technical Support (Others)	00100.90X.28.202													\$0	
	Communication (US)	00100.90X.29.202													\$0	
Totals		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
						Current Available	\$0									
						Shortfall/Surpluss @ Comp	\$0									
		6096	\$3,710,609	19/20												
1A	SDG&E Design	00101.902.28.202	3%				\$0	\$0							\$0	
	Trench Construction (by City)	00101.90X.18.202	42%				\$0	\$0							\$0	
	SDG&E Cabling & Pole Removal	00101.90X.18.202	40%				\$0	\$0							\$0	
	CM	00101.90X.17.202	15%				\$0	\$0							\$0	
	Totals		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
						Current Available	\$0									
						Shortfall/Surpluss @ Comp	\$0									
		10251	\$6,239,739	19/20												
X1A	SDG&E Design	00102.902.28.202	3%				\$0	\$0							\$0	
	Trench Construction (by City)	00102.90X.18.202	42%				\$0	\$0							\$0	
	SDG&E Cabling & Pole Removal	00102.90X.18.202	40%				\$0	\$0							\$0	
	CM	00102.90X.17.202	15%				\$0	\$0							\$0	
	Totals		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
						Current Available	\$0									
						Shortfall/Surpluss @ Comp	\$0									
		0	\$0	19/20												
1B	SDG&E Design	00103.902.28.202	3%				\$0	\$0		\$0					\$0	
	Trench Construction (by City)	00103.90X.18.202	42%				\$0	\$0			\$0	\$0			\$0	
	SDG&E Cabling & Pole Removal	00103.90X.18.202	40%				\$0	\$0				\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	CM	00103.90X.17.202	15%				\$0	\$0			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	Totals		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
						Current Available	\$0									
						Shortfall/Surpluss @ Comp	\$0									
		19/20														
Total	UUU						\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	Fixed Costs		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	1A		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	X1A		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	1B		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Totals		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
						Current Available	\$0									
						Shortfall/Surpluss @ Comp	\$0									

INVOICE SUMMARY SHEET

City of Del Mar

Project Title:

As-Needed Specialized Electrical Engineering Services Related to Implementation of a Citywide Undergrounding Program

Date: February 28, 2020
 Invoice No.: 84285
 Utility Specialists Project No.: CDM072
 Invoice Period: 1/20/2020 - 2/28/2020

Contract summary:

Original Contract Budget
 Professional Services Contract
 Dated 12/16/19

Budget Amount	Total Billed To Date	Remaining Approved Budget
\$ 250,000.00	\$104,476.16	\$ 145,523.84

Task orders summary:

TO #1 issued 12/16/19

Budget Amount	Previously Billed Amount	Billed this period Amount	Total Billed To Date	Remaining Approved Budget
\$134,600.00	\$44,951.27	\$59,524.89	\$104,476.16	\$30,123.84
\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
\$134,600.00	\$44,951.27	\$59,524.89	\$104,476.16	\$30,123.84

Task orders total

KCM MONTHLY REPORT
City of Del Mar Undergrounding Project
Prepared for: Utility Specialists
February 24, 2020

Task Order 01 items completed in February Invoice (services from January 21st – February 20th):

1. Agency Meetings and Coordination

- a. Participated in weekly team coordination meetings/calls
- b. Attended site walkthroughs with SDG&E and other agencies for District X1A
- c. Prepared and distributed meeting agendas and meeting/site walk minutes
- d. Revise district formation and design coordination questions for SDG&E
- e. Reviewed schedule for base map preparation
- f. Review Crown Castle circuitry maps for any conflicts with District 1A & X1A boundaries
- g. Provided ShareFolder filing/document management services

2. District Formation Support

- a. Revised District boundary maps for 1A & X1A
- b. Prepared narrative and photo exhibit for recommended revisions to District X1A boundaries
- c. Participated in Scheduling Subcommittee meeting
- d. Attended UPAC meeting

3. Resident Interface and Communications

- a. Prepared Legal and Policy questions for City Staff and Attorney review
- b. Revised Customer-Based Tracking and Monitoring Database
- c. Participated in Communications kick-off meeting
- d. Prepared and distributed Communications meeting agenda and minutes
- e. Review Project Messaging and Branding
- f. Provide input on Communications Schedule
- g. Prepare Public Notice for District Formation
- h. Provide input on Website Copy
- i. Edited responses to FAQs
- j. Review E-news, Facebook, and Twitter post language

4. Communications Subconsultant

- a. Participated in Communications kick-off meeting
- b. Prepared and revised Project Messaging
- c. Prepared and revised Communications Schedule
- d. Prepared and revised Public Notice for District Formation
- e. Prepared and revised Website Copy
- f. Edited responses to FAQs
- g. Prepared E-news, Facebook, and Twitter post language

5. Other Services

- a. Prepared Communications RACI
- b. Participated in meetings/calls for review of alternate options for database programs
- c. Review Smartsheet capabilities and prepare sample Smartsheet database and reports
- d. Populated database with City GIS parcel and property owner information
- e. Complete quality control review of GIS data for Districts 1A & X1A
- f. Complete quantity takeoffs for Districts 1A & X1A summary stats requested for narrative (number parcels, number private homes/buildings, and lineal footage of undergrounding to be completed)

- g. Review 2016 US Study for homes requiring lateral undergrounding in Districts 1A & X1A
- h. Prepare limited Database for UPAC to provide owner and resident contact information
- i. Prepare District exhibits with all pole, pole number references and overhead circuitry shown
- j. Prepare District X1A revision option exhibit for Primavera and Zuni
- k. Review as-builts for Water Tank site to confirm potential re-route alignment
- l. Provide input on UPAC Phase 2 Mission Statement
- m. Provide resident inquiry response

Staff Report 08/05/2019:**Table 1 (Fixed Costs)**

Work	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	TOTAL
Project Management, Legal, & Communication	\$360,000	\$320,000	\$325,000	\$325,000	\$325,000	\$2,095,000

Table 2 (Site Specific Costs)

Block	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	TOTAL
X1A	\$935,961	\$1,247,948	\$1,247,948	\$1,507,937	\$1,299,946	\$6,239,739
1A	\$556,591	\$742,122	\$742,122	\$896,730	\$773,043	\$3,710,609
1A + X1A	\$1,492,552	\$1,990,070	\$1,990,070	\$2,404,667	\$2,072,989	\$9,950,348

UP Working Calendar

	STEP	TENTATIVE
1	Pre-SOQ Meeting	10/10/19
2	Questions Due	10/15/19
3	Post-City's Responses	10/17/19
4	RFQ Closing Date (SOQ Due Date)	10/25/19
5	Notification of Short List Selection By	11/04/19
6	Interviews	11/12/19
7	Nov UPAC Meeting	11/14/19
8	Follow-Up Interviews	11/22/19
9	UPAC Meeting (Special Session)	12/10/19
10	Consultant Kick-Off Meeting	12/11/19
11	Award of Consultant Contract by the City Council	12/16/19
12	Notification of Final Selection	12/16/19
13	Site Visit with Utilities (1A)	12/18/20
14	Coordination Meeting with Liaisons	01/06/20
15	UPAC Finance Subcommittee Meeting	01/07/20
16	UPAC Communication Subcommittee Meeting	01/07/20
17	Coordination Meeting with UPAC Chairs	01/08/20
18	Jan UPAC Meeting	01/09/20
19	Update Project Page on City Website	01/16/20
20	Place Legal Ads + Send Public Notices for Muni Code Mods	01/16/20
21	Modify Muni Code — 1 st Read Public Notice	01/23/20
22	Site Visit with Utilities (X1A)	01/27/20
23	Site Visit with Utilities (X1A)	01/29/20
24	City Council — Modify Muni Code — 1 st Read	02/03/20
25	February UPAC Meeting	02/13/20
26	City Council — Modify Muni Code — 2 nd Read	02/18/20
27	Meeting with SDG&E Management	02/27/20
28	Place Legal Ads + Send Public Notices (Step 1 of 2)	03/12/20
29	March UPAC Meeting	03/12/20
30	Revised Muni Code Becomes Effective	03/19/20
31	City Council - Districting (Step 1 of 2)	03/23/20
32	Send NTP to SDG&E to Begin Design X1A & 1A	03/24/20
33	US Task Order 02	03/24/20
34	April UPAC Meeting	04/09/20
35	City Council – Implementation Policies	04/20/20
36	May UPAC Meeting	05/14/20



2020 CC Meeting
Calendar.pdf



Docket
Schedule-2020.pdf