

Del Mar City Council Meeting Agenda

Del Mar Town Hall
1050 Camino del Mar, Del Mar, California

April 1, 2019 City Council Meeting

**INFORMATION RECEIVED
AFTER THE COUNCIL AGENDA
WAS DISTRIBUTED
("Red Dots")**



From: Hershell Price <hershell.price@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, March 31, 2019 4:16 PM
To: City Clerk Mail Box; David Druker-Private; Dwight Worden; Ellie Haviland; Sherryl Parks-Private; Terry TG. Gaasterland
Cc: Donald Mosier
Subject: Red Dot - 5G Implementation - A rush job in Del Mar

Dear Mayor and Council Members,

Item 12 is being brought to the Council for consideration without having been earlier discussed by the Planning Commission and/or the DRB.

After reading the staff report, I am convinced that more study and debate must take place before a Resolution or Ordinance is approved.

Although the staff and City Attorney outlined a picture of trying to protect our community, they did not fully examine all of the negative consequences that were left out of their report.

The City, and perhaps the Council Members, have known about these new FCC requirements but took no early action to begin a public debate, choosing rather to believe that the public input on this important infrastructure project is not important. It's a rush job for sure and they have convinced themselves that to fight this supposed FCC mandate is not worth it. There are many of your residents that believe differently.

This Item 12 should be removed from the April 1st agenda, referred back to the PC and DRB and then brought back to the Council for a final determination as to whether or not it should be approved.

Thanks for listening,

Hershell Price, Del Mar Resident

The US Commission for Fine Arts has reviewed the draft guidelines and issued two letters. They are an integral part of the process of developing these guidelines.

- [Link to US Commission of Fine Arts Letter 27 July 2018](#) that states *“this initiative is based on accommodating current technology that may soon become obsolete, leaving communities burdened with unnecessarily large and outmoded infrastructure.”*
- [Link to US Commission of Fine Arts 27, September 2018](#) that states *“They therefore advised the development of an elegant and holistic design typology for the small-cell installations, rather than allowing a discordant kit of parts—antennas, equipment cabinets, and cables—to be clumsily attached to existing or new streetlight poles. They encouraged a more expansive study of best practices and design approaches for similar infrastructure in the U.S. and abroad to help develop a forward-looking solution that is not merely expedient but which appropriately integrates this technology into the public realm”.*

See

From: City Clerk Mail Box
Subject: FW: April 1st City Council Meeting Red Dot re. Item 12

From: Chris Burrow <cburrow@humetrix.com>
Sent: Sunday, March 31, 2019 8:55 PM
To: City Clerk Mail Box <CityClerk@delmar.ca.us>; David Druker-Private <ddruker@dataskill.com>; dwightworden@gmail.com; Terry TG. Gaasterland <gaasterland@gmail.com>; Ellie Haviland <ehaviland@delmar.ca.us>; Sherryl Parks-Private <sherryl.parks@gmail.com>
Subject: April 1st City Council Meeting Red Dot re. Item 12

Dear Mayor and Council Members,

We are very surprised to see as the last item of the upcoming City Council meeting, item 12, the proposed City Council Policy / resolution (Item 12- Exhibit A) for City wide deployment of a major 5G infrastructure with poles and 5G “small cell facilities” (3 cubic feet boxes set at 20- 30 feet l height), without prior thorough public debate.

As many as 200+ poles including wooden utility poles (for which the City has already expended hundreds of thousands dollars to underground and for which residents have voted to raise new sales taxes for a much needed public safety utility undergrounding program) will be used or newly erected for 5G deployment, with esthetically appalling 5G boxes on top of these poles which will not be effectively masked by the proposed simple painted covers (see Exhibit A)! The high density of these poles is required by the short distance 5G signals can traverse, and because 5G waves cannot penetrate buildings and are distorted by trees and hilly terrains – as is the situation in Del Mar.

We understand that FCC regulations require municipalities to decide on their local 5G policies by a soon expired time limit, but given the major aesthetical/ property value impact and local public safety policy conflict with the Del Mar utility undergrounding program (dictated by the high risk wild fire hazard Del Mar is exposed to), a City 5G resolution has to be much more carefully crafted and only after thorough public debate. No such debate has at this point taken place in Del Mar, and will not take place when the matter is scheduled as the last item of a long City Council agenda, late in the evening. The needed debate should include the Undergrounding Committee which surprisingly has not been informed by the City of this upcoming Council 5G resolution which calls for the use of utility poles and the erection of new poles while the UC has been tasked and has been diligently working on utility pole removal!

Throughout the country, from Washington DC to Oregon, communities are evaluating and arguing over the cost-benefit of 5G infrastructures and how they should be deployed. Many are opposing the aesthetically unappealing 5G “small cell facilities” deployment on top of utility or light poles, debating the possible installation instead on top of commercial or even residential structures. Del Mar and its residents need to debate available choices especially when public safety is at risk with the proposed Council Policy calls for the placement of 5G boxes on top of Del Mar wooden utility poles (which runs the risk of delaying utility undergrounding).

Before Council makes a final determination, item 12 should therefore be removed from the City Council April 1st agenda, and the matter be brought for public debate including review by all relevant City Advisory Committees, starting with the Undergrounding Committee.

With our best regards.

Chris Burrow, Verane and Bettina Experton, Del Mar Residents

Christopher Burrow, MD, 540 Tewa Court, Del Mar, CA 92014

cell: 858 205 2573

From: City Clerk Mail Box
Subject: FW: RED DOT - April 1st City Council Meeting Item 12

From: Shannahoff, David <dshannahoffkhalsa@ucsd.edu>
Sent: Sunday, March 31, 2019 10:58 PM
To: City Clerk Mail Box <CityClerk@delmar.ca.us>
Cc: David Druker-Private <ddruker@dataskill.com>; Terry TG. Gaasterland <gaasterland@gmail.com>; Ellie Haviland <ehaviland@delmar.ca.us>; Dwight Worden <dwrightworden@gmail.com>; Sherryl Parks-Private <sherryl.parks@gmail.com>; Donald Mosier <donaldmosier44@gmail.com>
Subject: RED DOT - April 1st City Council Meeting Item 12

Honorable Mayor and City Council Members,

I am shocked to see item 12 on the April 1, 2019, City Council meeting agenda, that is planning a city wide inclusion of a major 5G infrastructure with 5G small cell facilities, without a prior and thorough public debate and this very serious matter. Besides the obvious design issues and requirements of said infrastructure, the "infestation" of a 5G city wide installation poses a potential and serious health problem, given the radiation that would literally be inescapable everywhere in town. The relevant industries involved in the 5G matter have not done the adequate research to determine the potential and highly likely health hazards to all ages of people and animals in the community. This is a well known fact in the scientific and medical community, and it is being seriously over-looked, while many are pushing for expedience in delivery of the 5G global network. There are indeed a number of cities in the U.S. that are rejecting the 5G infrastructure, including Washington DC and Portland. By deciding just how to install these 5G units and towers, you are putting the cart before the horse, so to speak. If you believe that the industries (and government agencies) involved are taking a serious look at the potential wide spread health hazards, I ask you to consider for a moment the "policies" of Monsanto with Roundup, and how we now find the rates of cancers increasing because the industry fooled the government and the people to believe that this pesticide was safe and without harm. The industry cared only about their monetary profits, after fraudulently conducting studies on "safety." No doubt profits are the same motive for the industries involved with 5G.

We need to have a serious public debate on the potential health risks, and other options that may be far more safe and equally if not more effective, before this costly and potential hazardous burden is visited upon all in town. From what I understand, not event the Under grounding Committee has been previously informed of this upcoming 5G resolution that you have on the agenda. From what I also understand, there remains serious questions about how this huge project will be funded.

I believe this item should be removed from the agenda of April 1 so that the "cart is not placed before the horse" here. Please show the Del Mar residents that you are placing their health concerns and other risks as a serious matter by the council. There are a number of local physicians, scientists, and public health experts that live in Del Mar who are not fans of the 5G network. In addition, many residents are also seriously concerned about 5G as a major health risk, especially because the appropriate research has yet to be conducted to prove it safe.

Thank you,

David Shannahoff-Khalsa
507 1/2 Van Dyke Ave

Del Mar, CA 92014
phone 858-1-755-0539

From: City Clerk Mail Box
Subject: FW: RED DOT - April 1st City Council Meeting Item 12

From: PAMELA SLATER-PRICE <pcslater@mac.com>
Sent: Sunday, March 31, 2019 11:00 PM
To: Shannahoff, David <dshannahoffkhalsa@ucsd.edu>
Cc: City Clerk Mail Box <CityClerk@delmar.ca.us>; David Druker-Private <ddruker@dataskill.com>; Terry TG. Gaasterland <gaasterland@gmail.com>; Ellie Haviland <ehaviland@delmar.ca.us>; Dwight Worden <dwrightworden@gmail.com>; Sherryl Parks-Private <sherryl.parks@gmail.com>; Donald Mosier <donaldmosier44@gmail.com>
Subject: Re: RED DOT - April 1st City Council Meeting Item 12

Good job!

~"The pure and simple truth is rarely pure and never simple" - Oscar Wilde

On Mar 31, 2019, at 10:58 PM, Shannahoff, David <dshannahoffkhalsa@ucsd.edu> wrote:

Honorable Mayor and City Council Members,

I am shocked to see item 12 on the April 1, 2019, City Council meeting agenda, that is planning a city wide inclusion of a major 5G infrastructure with 5G small cell facilities, without a prior and thorough public debate and this very serious matter. Besides the obvious design issues and requirements of said infrastructure, the "infestation" of a 5G city wide installation poses a potential and serious health problem, given the radiation that would literally be inescapable everywhere in town. The relevant industries involved in the 5G matter have not done the adequate research to determine the potential and highly likely health hazards to all ages of people and animals in the community. This is a well known fact in the scientific and medical community, and it is being seriously over-looked, while many are pushing for expedience in delivery of the 5G global network. There are indeed a number of cities in the U.S. that are rejecting the 5G infrastructure, including Washington DC and Portland. By deciding just how to install these 5G units and towers, you are putting the cart before the horse, so to speak. If you believe that the industries (and government agencies) involved are taking a serious look at the potential wide spread health hazards, I ask you to consider for a moment the "policies" of Monsanto with Roundup, and how we now find the rates of cancers increasing because the industry fooled the government and the people to believe that this pesticide was safe and without harm. The industry cared only about their monetary profits, after fraudulently conducting studies on "safety." No doubt profits are the same motive for the industries involved with 5G.

We need to have a serious public debate on the potential health risks, and other options that may be far more safe and equally if not more effective, before this costly and potential hazardous burden is visited upon all in town. From what I understand, not event the Under grounding Committee has been previously informed of this upcoming 5G resolution that you have on the agenda. From what I also understand, there remains serious questions about how this huge project will be funded.

I believe this item should be removed from the agenda of April 1 so that the “cart is not placed before the horse” here. Please show the Del Mar residents that you are placing their health concerns and other risks as a serious matter by the council. There are a number of local physicians, scientists, and public health experts that live in Del Mar who are not fans of the 5G network. In addition, many residents are also seriously concerned about 5G as a major health risk, especially because the appropriate research has yet to be conducted to prove it safe.

Thank you,

David Shannahoff-Khalsa
507 1/2 Van Dyke Ave
Del Mar, CA 92014
phone 858-1-755-0539